

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/975,761	10/11/2001	Kenneth C. Caster	IR-2588(ET)CIP2	1165	
7590 02/02/2005			EXAMINER		
Miles B. Dearth			KNABLE, GEOFFREY L		
111 Lord Drive			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
PO Box 8012 Cary, NC 275	512-8012		1733		
,,			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

15

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
09/975,761	CASTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Geoffrey L. Knable	1733	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
AND TAIL OF THE APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANDE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to little applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1' application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Ap Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	y a Notice of Appeture. To story of the peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply muddets of the final rejection.	evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31 est be filed within one	; or (3) a of the following				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition the petition the petition did in the date of the appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed in the filed is the file							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c)☐ They are not deemed to place the application in b	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below), (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.					
			ent (PTOL-324).				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(all 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR and 41.33(all 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR and 41.33(all 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR.							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable il subtritted il a sopare	te, timely filed amend	dment canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s). The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	a)	will be entered and a	an explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sumoion reasons						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of file entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed	ling a Notice of Appeal, but prior to to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under at seary and was not earlier presented	See 37 CFR 41.33	(d)(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the							
because: See Continuation Sheet.							
See Continuation Steet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 13. ☒ Other: Attachment: Interview Summary Record.	i(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	Geoffrey L. Kna	l. Will				
		Primary Examil Art Unit: 1733	ner				

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection from the last office action and the potential obviousness double patenting issues with respect to 09/711,692, it being noted that the 1-3-2005 Termina Disclaimer with respect to 09/711,692 has been reviewed, accepted and recorded.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, with respect to the 1.130 declaration and MPEP 718, it is noted that this rule is ONLY applicable to rejections under 35 USC 103 - e.g. note 37 CFR 1.130 (a) and thus again a 1.130 declaration has no bearing on a rejection under 35 USC 102. Second, with respect to the previously filed petition to accord the parent filing date, it is first noted that a stay/suspension is not applicable as an office action (i.e. final rejection) is outstanding - 37 CFR 1.103 and MPEP 709. Further, contrary to applicant comments, acceptance of this petition and according the parent filing date will not automatically moot the relevant rejections. In particular, even if benefit is given, this application is a continuation-in-part of the parent application and the ONLY claims that are entitled to benefit of that filing date are claims that are FULLY supported in the parent application and it is submitted that none of the claims rejected under 35 USC 103 are fully supported. These claims are therefore not entitled to benefit of the parent filing date. In fact, arguably even the claims presently rejected under 35 USC 102, although considered to be anticipated by the mixtures mentioned in the reference, are not clearly fully supported/described in the parent application as there is no clear description therein of a monomer dissolved in a principal metathesizable material as claimed. To be entitled to the benefit of the filling date of the (grand)parent application, in addition to acceptance of the petition, it must be clearly shown why all the present claims (including every limitation thereof) are described in the (grand)parent application. Further, the 1.103 declaration, although applicable to rejections under 35 USC 103, is still considered deficient for reasons set forth in the final rejection.